

THE ATIXA PLAYBOOK:  
Best Practices for the Post-Regulatory Era

AUTHORED BY:

NEDDA BLACK, LCSW, J.D.  
MICHAEL HENRY, J.D.  
W. SCOTT LEWIS, J.D.  
LESLEE MORRIS, J.D.  
ANNA OPPENHEIM, J.D.  
SAUNDRA K. SCHUSTER, J.D.  
BRETT A. SOKOLOW, J.D.  
DANIEL C. SWINTON, ED.D., J.D.

ELECTRONIC COPIES OF THIS PUBLICATION ARE PROVIDED  
TO ALL MEMBERS OF ATIXA FOR INTERNAL INSTITUTIONAL  
USE ONLY, AND SHALL NOT BE DISTRIBUTED OR POSTED PUBLICLY.

THIS PUBLICATION IS AVAILABLE IN HARDCOPY AND  
ELECTRONICALLY TO NON-MEMBERS OF ATIXA.  
TO PURCHASE, PLEASE VISIT <https://atixa.org/resources/playbook/>

© 2017 ATIXA. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.



<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>4</b>
If Everything is Discriminatory, Then Discrimination Means Nothing	5
A Note about Tone	8
<b>WHY ARE COLLEGES IN THE BUSINESS OF ADDRESSING SEX OFFENSES?</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>TERMINOLOGY</b>	<b>14</b>
<i>Avoid the VAWA Offense Definitions as the Basis for Policy</i>	15
<i>The Centrality of Hostile Environment Analysis</i>	17
<i>Terms Finding Favor in 2017</i>	18
<b>MODELS OF PROOF FOR SEXUAL MISCONDUCT OFFENSES</b>	<b>19</b>
Preponderance of the Evidence	19
Sexual Harassment	21
<i>Quid Pro Quo Harassment</i>	21
<i>Model Policy</i>	21
<i>Model of Proof</i>	21
<i>Rubric</i>	22
<i>Retaliatory Harassment</i>	23
<i>Hostile Environment</i>	23
Non-Consensual Sexual Contact and Non-Consensual Sexual Intercourse	34
<i>Asking the Right Question</i>	34
<i>Model Policy</i>	34
<i>Model of Proof</i>	35
<i>Rubric</i>	36
<i>Force</i>	37
<i>Incapacitation</i>	43
<i>Consent</i>	50
<i>Tying the Three Elements into an Analytic</i>	52
<i>Section Conclusion</i>	55
<i>Are You the Sex Police?: A supplement to the consent discussion above</i>	55
<i>Case Study #1– Liz and Neveah</i>	58
<i>Case Study #2 – Wes and Tameka</i>	63
Sexual Exploitation	67
<i>Model Policy</i>	67
<i>Model of Proof</i>	67
<i>Rubric</i>	67
<i>Determination</i>	70
Intimate Partner Violence	71
<i>Model Policy</i>	71
<i>Model of Proof</i>	71
<i>Rubric</i>	71
<i>A Two-Prong Analysis</i>	71
Stalking	78
<i>Stalking Dynamics and Statistics</i>	78
<i>Model Policy</i>	80
<i>Model of Proof</i>	80
<i>Rubric</i>	81
<i>Determination</i>	83

Sex Discrimination	85
<i>Model Policy</i>	85
<i>Model of Proof</i>	85
<i>Rubric</i>	85
<i>Pregnancy</i>	85
<i>Athletics</i>	86
<i>Admissions</i>	92
<i>Student Organizations</i>	93
<i>Religious Exemptions</i>	94
Gender Discrimination	95
<i>Model Policy</i>	95
<i>Model of Proof</i>	95
<i>Rubric</i>	95
<i>Gender and Gender Identity: Understanding the Terminology</i>	95
Retaliation	98
<i>Model Policy</i>	98
<i>Model of Proof</i>	98
<i>Rubric</i>	98
<b>ASSESSMENT, ANALYSIS, AND RESOURCES</b>	<b>101</b>
Credibility	101
<i>Don't Lie to Me: Common Errors in Assessing Credibility Effectively</i>	101
Due Process Commitment	108
<i>The NCHERM Group Statement of Commitment to Due Process Protections</i>	108
<i>Due Process Checklist</i>	108
Cross-Claims, Counter-Claims, and Retaliation	110
<i>The Wesley College OCR Determination</i>	112
<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>115</b>
<b>ABOUT THE AUTHORS</b>	<b>117</b>